UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Karen Bickford, Individually and as Representative of Keith Bickford, Deceased

SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT – VERSION 2

The Plaintiff named below, by counsel, files this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Lability Litigation,* MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff files this Short-Form Complaint – Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff selects and indicates by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff, by counsel, alleges as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

A. PLAINTIFF

1. Plaintiff, **Karen Bickford** ("Plaintiff"), brings this action (check the applicable designation):

	On behalf of [himself/herself];
	In representative capacity as the Personal Representative, on behalf of the injured party, Keith Bickford .
2.	Injured Party is currently a resident and citizen of and claims damages as set forth below.
	—OR—
	Decedent died on October 22, 2022. At the time of Decedent's death, Decedent was a resident and citizen of Naples, Florida .
If any party cl	aims loss of consortium,
3.	Karen Bickford alleges damages for loss of consortium.
4.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Consortium Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Naples , Florida .
5.	At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff resided in Naples, Florida.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

- 6. Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants from the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint in this action:
 - a. Brand-Name Manufacturers:

GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC

GLAXOSMITHKLINE (AMERICA) INC.

GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC

PFIZER INC;

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC;

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION;

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION;

SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC;

SANOFI US SERVICES INC.

PATHEON MANUFACTURING SERVICES LLC

CHATTEM, INC.

- b. Generic Manufacturers:
- c. Distributors and Repackager:

	-		•
d.	12	Δtai	lers:
u.	1/	cıaı	1015.

e. Others Not Named in the AMPIC: DOES 1-100

C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

8. Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.

II. PRODUCT USE

ç). T	he l	njured	Party	/ used	Zantac	and/or	generic	raniti	idine:	[Ck]	ıeck	all	tha	t app	v l v

[] By prescription

[X] Over the counter

10. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately 01/1995 to 01/2020.

III. PHYSICAL INJURY

11. As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medications specified above, [he/she] was diagnosed with the following specific type of cancer (check all that apply):

Check all	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of
that		Diagnosis
apply		
	BLADDER CANCER	
[]	BREAST CANCER	
	COLORECTAL CANCER	

[]	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER	
	GASTRIC CANCER	
[]	KIDNEY CANCER	
	LIVER CANCER	
	LUNG CANCER	
[X]	PANCREATIC CANCER	02/01/2020
[]	PROSTATE CANCER	
	OTHER CANCER:	
	DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)	

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s).

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s): ¹

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
	Ι	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, and WA
	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA

¹ In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC			
	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA			
	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	CA, DE, DC, HI, IN, KY, LA, MD, MA, MN, MO, NV, NY, OR, and PA			
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA			
	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA			
	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	KS, TX			
	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand- Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	All States and Territories			
	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and Distributor Defendants)	All States and Territories			
	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories			
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in California)	CA only			
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in Massachusetts)	MA only			
	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories			
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories			
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories			

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim(s):

Plaintiff, Karen Bickford, is the surviving spouse of Decedent, Keith Bickford. Decedent passed away on October 22, 2020, due to his pancreatic cancer.

V. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

DATED: 13th day of April, 2022 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ James L. Ferraro, Jr.
James L. Ferraro, Jr., Esq.
FL Bar No. 107494
THE FERRARO LAW FIRM, P.A.
600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3800
Miami, FL 33131
Tel: (305) 375-0111
Fay: (305) 379-6222

Fax: (305) 379-6222

Email: james@ferrarolaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff